

## **APPROVED**

### **Annual Report of the Committee on Admissions, Enrollment, and Preparatory Education (AEPE) 2003-04**

#### **AEPE discussed and/or commented on the following issues:**

- ♦ Reviewed the 2002-03 Annual Report.
- ♦ Discussion on media coverage of admissions process.
- ♦ Review and discussion of the draft report “A preliminary Analysis of the University of California, Berkeley Admissions Process for 2002” also known as the Moores report.
- ♦ Discussion on possible enrollment cuts.
- ♦ Review and discussion on the Graduate Admissions Report by the Academic Council Task Force.
- ♦ Discussion of Counselors Conference.
- ♦ Review of the BOARS task force findings on the use of outreach programs in the applicant review process.
- ♦ Review of the BOARS findings pertaining to early admission for those Eligible in the Local Context (ELC).
- ♦ Reviewed freshman selection criteria.
- ♦ Reviewed freshman scoring guidelines.
- ♦ Review of freshman applicant profiles.
- ♦ Review of transfer applicant profiles.
- ♦ Review and discussion of Cooperative Admissions Program (CAP).
- ♦ Review and discussion of Dual Admissions Program (DAP).
- ♦ Review and discussion of Eligible Dual Admissions Program (EDAP).\*
- ♦ Review of Professional Schools' and Colleges' transfer admission policies.
- ♦ Discussion on a procedure for AEPE to review low-scoring admits.
- ♦ Response to BOARS proposal for Streamlining Undergraduate Admissions Processes.
- ♦ Discussion of Comprehensive Review and public perception.
- ♦ Review of by-high school document.
- ♦ Discussion on outreach, outreach funding and preparedness of high school applicants.
- ♦ Discussion of admission yield efforts.
- ♦ Review of UCOP redaction of names on the UC application.
- ♦ Discussion of Augmented Review (AR) Policies and Guidelines.
- ♦ Review of the profiles of fall 2002 and 2003 freshman applicants.
- ♦ Discussion of workload in the Office of Undergraduate Admissions (OUA).
- ♦ Review of Engineering and Chemistry supplemental reader guidelines.
- ♦ Review of tie-breaking guidelines.
- ♦ Creation of a group to study on how to predict success at UCB.

- ◆ Discussion on the budget and its effect on enrollment and admissions.
- ◆ Discussion regarding the Eligibility Study.
- ◆ Discussion on the UC Presidential Study Group.
  
- ◆ Discussion on how to respond to Regents' questions.
- ◆ Discussion on Lower Division Advanced Standing Referral Guidelines.
- ◆ Discussion on enrollment targets for 2004-05.
- ◆ Discussion of faculty review of low-scoring admits.
- ◆ Discussion on Eligibility in the Local Context (ELC).
- ◆ Report on status of fall 2004 admissions cycle.

**AEPE took the following actions:**

- ◆ Approved Annual Report 2002-03.
- ◆ Response on the Graduate Admissions Report by the Academic Council Task Force.
- ◆ Drafted a response to Regent Moores draft report “A preliminary Analysis of the University of California, Berkeley Admissions Process for 2002.
- ◆ Drafted a response to the Divisional Council on possible enrollment cuts.
- ◆ Approved freshman selection criteria for 2004.
- ◆ Approved freshman Scoring guidelines for 2004.
- ◆ Invited UCB BOARS graduate student representative Carmel Levitan to sit on AEPE as a guest.
- ◆ Presentation by OUA on application reading and scoring procedures.
- ◆ Approved Augmented Review (AR) Policies and Guidelines.
- ◆ Approved Tie-breaking Guidelines.
- ◆ Approved Advanced Standing selection criteria.
- ◆ Creation of a subcommittee of AEPE to review low-scoring admits in March.
- ◆ Response to UCOP re: redaction of names on the UC application.
- ◆ Response to BOARS proposal for Streamlining Undergraduate Admissions Processes.
- ◆ Nomination of member to the Athletics Admissions Committee (AAC) as AEPE representative.
- ◆ Nomination of member to the Registration & Enrollment Committee) as AEPE representative.
- ◆ Nomination of member to the Admission Coordination Board as AEPE representative.
- ◆ Formalized the Lower Division Advanced Standing Referral Guidelines policy; Motion to approve with an increase of GPA to 3.5.
- ◆ Motion to suspend CAP for one year.
- ◆ Discussion on Section A examination.
- ◆ Reviewed BOARS Eligibility Principles draft.

- ♦ Reinstated subcommittee on AR review.
- ♦ Creation of faculty review of low-scoring admits.
- ♦ Committee lauded the staff of OUA for their outstanding work.
- ♦ Began a validity study of Comprehensive Review.
- ♦ Created report for the Division.
- ♦ Committee granted permission for Chair Stern to act on the committees' behalf during the summer months.

**Future issues to be considered:**

- ♦ Follow up on budgetary support for admissions.
- ♦ Continue study on Comprehensive Review.
- ♦ Augmented Review: create alternate scoring method.
- ♦ Continue study on how to predict success at UCB.
- ♦ Evaluate GTO\*/DAP.
- ♦ Review CAP; reinstate?

\*EDAP renamed: Guaranteed Transfer Offer (GTO)

Approved September 17, 2004