

APPROVED

Annual Report of the Committee on Admissions, Enrollment, and Preparatory Education (AEPE) 2004-05

AEPE discussed and/or commented on the following issues:

- ◆ Reviewed the 2003-04 Annual Report.
- ◆ Review and discussion of the draft of the “Berkeley’s Comprehensive Review Method for Making Freshman Decisions: An Assessment” also known as the Hout report.
- ◆ Discussion of Counselors Conference.
- ◆ Reviewed freshman selection criteria.
- ◆ Reviewed freshman scoring guidelines.
- ◆ Presentation by OUA on application reading and scoring procedures.
- ◆ Review of freshman applicant profiles.
- ◆ Review of transfer applicant profiles.
- ◆ Review of Professional Schools' and Colleges' transfer admission policies.
- ◆ Discussion on a procedure for AEPE to review low-scoring admits.
- ◆ Response to BOARS proposal for Streamlining Undergraduate Admissions Processes.
- ◆ Discussion on outreach, outreach funding and preparedness of high school applicants.
- ◆ Discussion on the BOARS re-examination of admissions policy in light of UC’s overall mission.
- ◆ Discussion of admission yield efforts.
- ◆ Discussion of Augmented Review (AR) Policies and Guidelines.
- ◆ Review of the profiles of fall 2003 and 2004 freshman applicants.
- ◆ Discussion of workload in the Office of Undergraduate Admissions (OUA).
- ◆ Review of Engineering and Chemistry supplemental reader guidelines.
- ◆ Review of tie-breaking guidelines.
- ◆ Discussion on Lower Division Advanced Standing Referral Guidelines.
- ◆ Discussion on enrollment targets for 2005-06.
- ◆ Discussion of faculty review of low-scoring admits.
- ◆ Discussion on Eligibility in the Local Context (ELC).
- ◆ Report on status of fall 2005 admissions cycle.
- ◆ Discussion on the Cooperative Admissions Programs (CAP).

AEPE took the following actions:

- ◆ Approved Annual Report 2003-04.
- ◆ Invited guest Christopher Patti from the Office of General Council to discuss legal issues pertaining to admissions.
- ◆ Drafted a response to the Divisional Council on Promoting Academic Achievement and Opportunity.
- ◆ Drafted a response to the Divisional Council on SCIGETC.
- ◆ Approved freshman selection criteria for 2005-06.

- ◆ Approved freshman Scoring guidelines for 2005-06.
- ◆ Invited UCB graduate student representative Carmel Levitan to sit on AEPE as a guest.
- ◆ Athletics Admissions Committee Policy Review.
- ◆ Drafted a response to the Academic Senate proposed changes to A208.
- ◆ Approved Augmented Review (AR) Policies and Guidelines for 2005; eliminated the score of 3.5.
- ◆ Approved Tie-breaking Guidelines for 2005 and 2006.
- ◆ Approved Advanced Standing selection criteria for 2005 and 2006.
- ◆ Creation of a subcommittee of AEPE to review low-scoring admits in March.
- ◆ Pilot test of review by predicted score.
- ◆ Appointment of Professors Robert Jacobsen and Mark Sandberg to the L&S Deans Conference.
- ◆ Response to BOARS proposal for Admission by Exception (A by E) courses.
- ◆ Nomination of member Krishna Niyogi to the Athletics Admissions Committee (AAC) as AEPE representative.
- ◆ Nomination of member George Johnson to the Registration & Enrollment Program Committee (REPC) as AEPE representative.
- ◆ Nomination of member Jonathan Leonard to the Admission Coordination Board (Coord Board) as AEPE representative.
- ◆ Reviewed BOARS Eligibility Principles.
- ◆ Completed a validity study of Comprehensive Review.
- ◆ Reviewed spring report to the Division.
- ◆ Committee granted permission for Chair Stern and incoming Chair Jacobsen to act on the committees' behalf during the summer months.
- ◆ Instituted a conforming by-law change that stated the voting rights of Associate Vice Chancellor for Admissions & Enrollment (accommodating title change).

Future issues to be considered:

- ◆ Continue study on how to predict success at UCB.
- ◆ Discussion on UC appeal process used by UC (versus wait list).
- ◆ Revamp test of review by predicted score e.g. add a level of identifiers i.e. low-income
- ◆ Discussion of the use of the information on read sheets in re: performance relative to the different pools.
- ◆ Budget impact on admissions process.