APPROVED

Annual Report of the Committee on Admissions, Enrollment, and Preparatory Education (AEPE) 2003-04

AEPE discussed and/or commented on the following issues:

- Reviewed the 2002-03 Annual Report.
- Discussion on media coverage of admissions process.
- Review and discussion of the draft report “A preliminary Analysis of the University of California, Berkeley Admissions Process for 2002” also known as the Moores report.
- Discussion on possible enrollment cuts.
- Review and discussion on the Graduate Admissions Report by the Academic Council Task Force.
- Discussion of Counselors Conference.
- Review of the BOARS task force findings on the use of outreach programs in the applicant review process.
- Review of the BOARS findings pertaining to early admission for those Eligible in the Local Context (ELC).
- Reviewed freshman selection criteria.
- Reviewed freshman scoring guidelines.
- Review of freshman applicant profiles.
- Review of transfer applicant profiles.
- Review and discussion of Cooperative Admissions Program (CAP).
- Review and discussion of Dual Admissions Program (DAP).
- Review and discussion of Eligible Dual Admissions Program (EDAP).*
- Review of Professional Schools' and Colleges' transfer admission policies.
- Discussion on a procedure for AEPE to review low-scoring admits.
- Response to BOARS proposal for Streamlining Undergraduate Admissions Processes.
- Discussion of Comprehensive Review and public perception.
- Review of by-high school document.
- Discussion on outreach, outreach funding and preparedness of high school applicants.
- Discussion of admission yield efforts.
- Review of UCOP redaction of names on the UC application.
- Discussion of Augmented Review (AR) Policies and Guidelines.
- Review of the profiles of fall 2002 and 2003 freshman applicants.
- Discussion of workload in the Office of Undergraduate Admissions (OUA).
- Review of Engineering and Chemistry supplemental reader guidelines.
- Review of tie-breaking guidelines.
- Creation of a group to study on how to predict success at UCB.
Discussion on the budget and its effect on enrollment and admissions.
Discussion regarding the Eligibility Study.
Discussion on the UC Presidential Study Group.

Discussion on how to respond to Regents' questions.
Discussion on Lower Division Advanced Standing Referral Guidelines.
Discussion on enrollment targets for 2004-05.
Discussion of faculty review of low-scoring admits.
Discussion on Eligibility in the Local Context (ELC).

**AEPE took the following actions:**

- Approved Annual Report 2002-03.
- Response on the Graduate Admissions Report by the Academic Council Task Force.
- Drafted a response to Regent Moores draft report “A preliminary Analysis of the University of California, Berkeley Admissions Process for 2002.
- Drafted a response to the Divisional Council on possible enrollment cuts.
- Invited UCB BOARS graduate student representative Carmel Levitan to sit on AEPE as a guest.
- Presentation by OUA on application reading and scoring procedures.
- Approved Augmented Review (AR) Policies and Guidelines.
- Approved Tie-breaking Guidelines.
- Approved Advanced Standing selection criteria.
- Creation of a subcommittee of AEPE to review low-scoring admits in March.
- Response to UCOP re: redaction of names on the UC application.
- Response to BOARS proposal for Streamlining Undergraduate Admissions Processes.
- Nomination of member to the Athletics Admissions Committee (AAC) as AEPE representative.
- Nomination of member to the Registration & Enrollment Committee) as AEPE representative.
- Nomination of member to the Admission Coordination Board as AEPE representative.
- Formalized the Lower Division Advanced Standing Referral Guidelines policy; Motion to approve with an increase of GPA to 3.5.
- Motion to suspend CAP for one year.
- Discussion on Section A examination.
- Reviewed BOARS Eligibility Principles draft.
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- Reinstated subcommittee on AR review.
- Creation of faculty review of low-scoring admits.
- Committee lauded the staff of OUA for their outstanding work.
- Began a validity study of Comprehensive Review.
- Created report for the Division.
- Committee granted permission for Chair Stern to act on the committees' behalf during the summer months.

Future issues to be considered:

- Follow up on budgetary support for admissions.
- Continue study on Comprehensive Review.
- Augmented Review: create alternate scoring method.
- Continue study on how to predict success at UCB.
- Evaluate GTO*/DAP.
- Review CAP; reinstate?

*EDAP renamed: Guaranteed Transfer Offer (GTO)
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