Chair’s Summary

We entered fall 2009 facing a host of specific questions and a broad challenge to the practice of shared governance. The specific questions arose from the painful budget cuts imposed by the campus and the system, in the wake of the devastating and immediate reduction in state funding. The broad challenges attached to the capacity and legitimacy of the Academic Senate to speak for the faculty in the context of fast-moving and potentially radical changes to the University’s conception of its mission and its fundamental practices. In addition, a number of other difficult problems and questions arose during the course of the year, including the sustainability of Berkeley’s Intercollegiate Athletics program, the campus handling of protest activities, and the transformation of campus administration, through Operational Excellence. Finally, the Senate also implemented the recommendations of its own Task Force on Senate Organization and Effectiveness.

Overall, through prodigious efforts by all members of the Senate and our hard-working staff, we confronted the extraordinary range of issues with cool deliberation, healthy skepticism and rational analysis. We have insisted upon, and thereby maintained, our place at the table as a partner with the Administration in facing the problems before us.

What follows is a brief summary, from the Chair’s point of view, of how the Senate attempted to address the matters before it, at both the campus and the systemwide level. I have grouped the discussion thematically, rather than chronologically; the table at the end of the report presents our actions in time.

Senate transparency and reorganization:

During periods of normal business, the Senate tends to operate with low visibility, with policy matters discussed in committee environments, synthesized by Divisional Council, and advanced by the Chair for systemwide discussion. But 2009-10 presented the campus and the system with a number of virtually existential questions about the trajectory of the campus, including the redesign of our administrative systems, the domestic versus out-of-state population of undergraduates, and the role of online education within our degree programs. With these issues, the Senate needed to experiment with better ways of engaging the faculty as a whole.

The issue of broader faculty discussion arose immediately in the summer of 2009, as a consequence of intense faculty interest in UC President Mark Yudof’s decision to furlough all University employees without reducing concurrently the number of days of instruction, hence without lessening the workload on faculty in proportion to their salary reduction. (The rationale for this decision was that students and families were facing very large simultaneous increases in tuition, and it would be inappropriate to ask them to pay more for fewer hours of instruction.) The decision sparked a broader conversation about the role of the faculty in “emergency” policy decisions, as well as its broader role in defining the character of the curriculum. At the same time, the joint campus administrative-Senate Task Force on Undergraduate Enrollment reported out, recommending both a decrease in overall enrollment for campus, and a relative increase in the number of out-of-state undergraduates admitted, in order both to increase tuition revenues and to broaden, culturally and geographically, the range of Berkeley undergraduates. Faculty interest in this question was also very broad, as it indicated a trajectory for the campus towards a very different financial model: one grounded in tuition (with a steep redistribution for financial aid) rather than state support.

The interest in these two issues prompted us to hold a number of town halls over the year, with some presentation but mainly featuring discussion, as a way to reach broader numbers of faculty. We also began greater use of Cal Messages and the Senate Chair’s blog, as well as interventions on the Faculty Budget Forum listserv (begun by English faculty Colleen Lye and Mark Goble). We also experimented
with an electronic poll regarding the question of nonresident enrollment. In the spring, we held more informal discussions, "Conversations," and "town halls," with participation by: systemwide Academic Senate Chair Henry Powell and Vice Chair Daniel Simmons; members of the UC Commission on the Future working groups; Regent Leslie Schilling; and Berkeley Law Dean Christopher Edley Jr. By spring it is fair to say that the Senate’s unprecedented numbers of meetings and electronic interventions had connected more faculty to policy discussions than the campus had seen since the 1960s.

At the same time, we realized in 2008-09 that the Senate’s committee structure was not necessarily optimized to the issues that come systematically before us, nor to the other demands on faculty time. The Task Force on Senate Organization and Effectiveness, composed of recent Senate chairs, issued its report in 2009 (http://academic-senate.berkeley.edu/recommendations-reports) with proposals for organizational simplification and economy, upon which Divisional Council acted selectively. Divisional Council decided to merge the responsibilities of the following committees: Computing and Communications into Academic Planning and Resource Allocation, and Memorial Resolutions into University-Emeriti Relations. In addition, Divisional Council has thus far formed an interim task force, charged with considering how the Senate should best meet its governance duties with respect to international education (both abroad and on campus). This task force will report in 2010.

Finally, the Senate has been trying to revamp its website, both to make its archives more accessible and to make the website more informative as a locus for information about current campus policy questions. The new website should unveil early in fall 2010.

**Campus budget transparency and priorities:**

Our Faculty Budget Working Group, formed in 2009, continued to meet with senior members of the administration, generally every other week. Its membership consisted of the chair and vice chair of the Senate, three members of the Committee on Academic Planning and Resource Allocation (CAPRA), two elected Divisional Council members, and the chair and immediate past chair of the Committee on Budget and Interdepartmental Relations (BIR). Our meetings focused on specific questions about the campus budget and processes, including the effects of Operational Excellence, a potential towards a cost-centered approach to funding units, and the financing of the UC system and the Office of the President (UCOP); a second continuing agenda item was the development of a publicly accessible and informative guide to campus finances. In both of these efforts we were very pleased with the time given by campus administration, most notably Executive Vice Chancellor and Provost (EVCP) George Breslauer, Vice Chancellor (VC) Frank Yeary, and Associate Vice Chancellor for Budget (AVC) Erin Gore. The Faculty Budget Working Group provided a useful window for the Senate leadership on budget decision-making, and provided the administration with a useful sounding board for many of the issues on the table. AVC Gore’s presentation on the Berkeley budget was also a success, casting new light on the financing of the campus as a whole; we expect it to be followed by further presentations on other aspects of the campus budget.

**Operational Excellence:**

As chair, I represented the Senate on the steering committee for the Operational Excellence effort, and its initial engagement with Bain and Company. The role of the steering committee was to advise Chancellor Robert Birgeneau on whether to hire an outside consultant, to help define the charge of the consultant, and then to advise the Chancellor on the targets to be selected in the implementation of the effort. The design and implementation stage, reached in late spring 2009, is obviously the crucial part of the entire project. Incoming Chair Fiona Doyle has joined the project management steering committee, to ensure a strong faculty voice as new systems are designed and deployed. I remain optimistic about the prospects for significant savings from these efforts, notwithstanding the worries we all share about the disruptions the changes will necessarily entail.

**Intercollegiate athletics:**

The Senate has been concerned with the flow of campus funds to intercollegiate athletics since at least 2006, when CAPRA, chaired by Professor Emeritus Calvin Moore, initiated a study of spending patterns and worked out, with then-Vice Chancellor for Administration Nathan Brostrom, a “glide path” aimed at reducing the level of campus funding at a steady rate. For two years the Department of Intercollegiate Athletics (DIA) did reduce its draw on central campus funding, but in recent years spending has increased.
Faculty attention focused on athletics spending this year, in significant part due to the efforts of a group of faculty who put forth for the November Division meeting a resolution aimed at curbing spending and reorienting campus priorities, including, among other provisions, a request that the Chancellor immediately cease funding intercollegiate athletics to the extent permitted by contract obligations. The November meeting was well attended, and while there was insufficient time for nuanced debate of the issues, the resolution (available here: http://academic-senate.berkeley.edu/meetings/division-meeting/2009-11-05) passed by a margin of 91-68, revealing a strong faculty sentiment in favor of immediately reducing the strain on campus resources.

Divisional Council subsequently acted on the resolution by forming a task force, chaired by Professor Emeritus Moore, and composed of a range of faculty voices and backgrounds; it charged the task force to make recommendations concerning the establishment of a self-sustaining financial future for intercollegiate athletics, and to propose a more effective way for the Senate to exercise shared governance in relation to athletics than currently exists with the unwieldy University Athletics Board. The task force met weekly through the spring term and issued an interim report (available here: http://academic-senate.berkeley.edu/issues/intercollegiate-athletics) stating its central findings: that a culture of relatively unconstrained spending exists in the DIA; that management of DIA’s budget has been deficient (though improving); that a vibrant intercollegiate athletics program does, nonetheless, provide significant benefits to the campus as a whole, including its academic mission (through encouraging academic philanthropy); that a swift reduction in central campus funding to (in the views of a majority of the task force members) no more than $3-5M per year is recommended; and that a new subcommittee of CAPRA be formed to give special scrutiny to intercollegiate athletics finances.

The final report of the task force will be issued in fall 2010, with a full discussion of the costs and benefits of intercollegiate athletics.

I should add that concurrent with the task force’s work, the Chancellor appointed his own Advisory Council on Intercollegiate Athletics Financial Sustainability, half of whose members were faculty (the chair and vice chair of the Senate, task force Chair Moore, and task force member Margaret Conkey). The council’s report, issued in July 2010, strongly and self-consciously echoed the findings of the Senate task force.

Enrollment and lower division management:
As mentioned above, the joint Senate-administration Task Force on Undergraduate Enrollment, co-chaired by Vice Provost for Teaching, Learning, Academic Planning, and Facilities, Catherine Kosholand and Committee on Admissions, Enrollment, and Preparatory Education Chair George Johnson, issued an initial report in July 2009, recommending a modest decrease in overall undergraduate enrollment and a relative increase in the number of nonresident students (U.S. and international). The task force’s findings were controversial, to be sure, and occasioned much discussion by faculty, but met with decisive formal support from the Divisional Council and informal support by faculty as a whole. Divisional Council did, importantly, make its support contingent on the proposition that the additional tuition revenues must redound to the benefit of California resident students as well. The findings were subsequently endorsed by the Coordination Board and acted upon by the Chancellor.

The task force had worked so effectively and creatively that the EVCP and Divisional Council decided to continue its charter, and to charge it with developing strategies to overcome the most pressing problems flowing from our overenrollment. To date, the task force has issued a recommendation supporting a significant increase in funding sections of Expository Writing, subsequently acted upon by the EVCP; as well as recommendations concerning the management of so-called “gateway” courses—introductory, usually technical, courses that serve as prerequisites to a number of majors. Those recommendations are forthcoming. Links to the task force’s reports are available here: http://academic-senate.berkeley.edu/node/115.

Self-supporting degrees:
A natural development of reduced state funding has been units’ searches for new sources of revenue, and one major form this has taken is with the development of graduate level degree-programs that use only tuition revenue from the program to support their costs (and thus do not use state or other central
Indeed, UCOP has indicated in its recommendations to the Commission on the Future that development of such programs should be a priority. At the same time, the administration and the Senate realized (with the urging of many deans), that there are pressing questions about the criteria for approval and management of these programs, chiefly to ensure that the programs do not otherwise consume resources (including excess faculty time and attention) from the state-supported programs at the core of our mission, and to ensure the coordination among campus units so that they are not competing one against the other. Accordingly, we formed another joint administrative-Senate task force on the topic, co-chaired by Graduate Dean Andrew Szeri and Graduate Council Chair Ronald Cohen. The task force issued preliminary recommendations in spring 2010, and will issue its final recommendations presently.

**Commission on the Future (“Gould Commission”):**

In summer 2009, President Yudof and Regents Chair Russell Gould announced the formation of a “Commission on the Future,” whose aim would be to chart a trajectory for the University in a climate of declining state support and increasing costs. The Commission (whose website is available here, with membership information: [http://ucfuture.universityofcalifornia.edu/](http://ucfuture.universityofcalifornia.edu/)) is composed of a mixture of Regents, UC administrators, and faculty—with an emphasis on administrators and faculty drawn from professional schools. In addition, five “working groups,” composed predominantly of faculty, were formed, to develop specific policy proposals around the areas of access, research, financing, educational policy, and the overall size and shape of the University.

The Senate, both at the campus and the systemwide level, spent an enormous amount of time on matters related to the Commission, first on its membership, and second (and chiefly) responding to recommendations issued by its working groups and by UCOP staff, as well as offering its own views on the primary changes to be made in UC’s immediate future.

It is fair to say that while all of us are grateful for the time spent by members of the Commission and its working groups, and while some valuable pressures towards systemwide efficiency have emerged, most members of the Senate have not found this an inspiring process. To the contrary, the Berkeley Division (and the systemwide Senate as well) has been highly critical of the process as a whole, which has largely led to anodyne prescriptions with little prospect of improving our financial position. The most substantive recommendations advanced would, meanwhile, seem to encourage a possibly pernicious form of centralization and systemwide coordination (for instance, of major requirements, currently set by individual departments), and would otherwise sap the system’s campuses of autonomy and flexibility. The responses to the Commission’s recommendations from the Berkeley Division can be found here [http://academic-senate.berkeley.edu/node/116](http://academic-senate.berkeley.edu/node/116). The Commission plans to make its own recommendations, for consideration and possible adoption by the Regents, in fall 2010.

**Online education initiative:**

One of the most contentious recommendations offered by UCOP to the Commission, championed by Berkeley Law Dean Christopher Edley Jr., concerns a dramatically expanded role for online education within the University. This initiative has occasioned on campus a great deal of discussion, some of it hosted by the Senate, and a great deal of detailed feedback, especially by our Committee on Courses of Instruction, which has sole authority to approve all degree courses. In brief, the Senate favors pursuing a pilot program of rigorous research aimed at identifying the strengths and possibilities of online education (including blended, or hybrid models). At the same time, the Divisional Council is wary of forsaking our commitment to a face-to-face curriculum—one grounded in the multiple opportunities for horizontal learning among students, and for more complex vertical interactions between students and instructors. We are equally concerned lest the university put significant resources of its own into an online endeavor whose revenue prospects (or prospects of meeting capacity demands at lower cost) remain hazy.

More concretely, a number of campus units are developing proposals for online-only, self-supporting graduate degree programs. Because such programs raise novel and difficult issues, Divisional Council created a task force to define criteria for their approval, chaired by Professor John Lindow. The task force has met with a number of experts and faculty experienced in online instruction, and will issue its report early in fall 2010.
Campus protests:
Student and community concern about the effects of rapidly escalating tuition brought out a range of broader-scale and more emphatic campus demonstrations than Berkeley has seen in several years. Some of the mass demonstrations in support of democratic access to higher education were successes by anyone’s lights; but a number of other demonstrations involved significant disruption to campus activities by the protestors, and significant levels of the use of police force against almost entirely nonviolent protestors. The Senate issued statements insisting upon two primary values: that protestors respect the rights of other students, faculty, and staff to work and study on campus; and that the campus administration and the police fully respect the rights of activists to robust forms of political speech and engagement. To that end, the Senate, mainly through its leadership, consulted with the administration on planning for protest activities, and has worked to resolve disciplinary cases flowing from the campus protests of the fall. Furthermore, because of some significant concerns about student conduct enforcement, the Senate will take part in 2010-11 in a review of campus policies and practices.

Monitoring of large-scale research partnerships (EBI and KAUST):
When the Senate endorsed the Energy Biosciences Institute (EBI) initiative and the partnership with the King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), it requested annual briefings by the directors of those initiatives, principally to confirm that campus norms of equal access and academic freedom were being followed. We have now heard for the second year from Professor Chris Somerville, EBI Executive Director, and Professor Albert Pisano (principal investigator of KAUST and then-chair of the Department of Mechanical Engineering) and we have thus far confidence that the initiatives are proceeding in a way that is fully consistent with our campus values and commitments.

As a follow-up to its spring 2009 report on University-industry collaborations (available at: http://academic-senate.berkeley.edu/recommendations-reports) the Senate requested that Vice Chancellor for Research (VCR) Graham Fleming cooperate in a Memorandum of Understanding, setting forth expectations of governance and review for such partnerships. Unfortunately, VCR Fleming declined to do so, although he has said that he is personally committed to the principles in that document.

Resolution to recommend possible changes to Systemwide governance:
Our spring division meeting included a resolution put forward by Professor Emeritus Charles Schwartz, requesting that Divisional Council charge a committee to develop reform proposals concerning the governance and leadership of the University. At the meeting, a motion was made to submit the resolution instead for electronic balloting, whereupon it passed by a vote of 23-5. The text of the resolution is available here: http://academic-senate.berkeley.edu/meetings/division-meeting/2010-04-22. The task force will be formed and formally charged in fall 2010.

Other actions:
I was pleased to have the chance to meet and discuss shared governance with Dr. Dan-ming Lin, vice president of Shantou University (China). Divisional Council and Senate leadership also met with Student Regent Jesse Cheng; President Yudof and his senior leadership, Provost Lawrence Pitts; systemwide Academic Senate Vice Chair Daniel Simmons; and with the leadership of the UC Berkeley Foundation. In conjunction with the systemwide Senate, the Berkeley Division hosted a number of events to occasion faculty discussion of the coming changes to the retirement benefits system. Finally, the Division participated in the Senate-initiated “stewardship review” of Chancellor Birgeneau’s performance.

As is evident, 2009-10 was, by any standard, an unusually eventful year. Most of the foregoing is in addition to the routine work of the Senate committees and Divisional Council, as we exercise our Regentally-derived authority over courses and curriculum, and our routine consultative authority over all range of issues before the campus, including departmental reviews. A list of all such actions follows.

Despite the range of issues and depth of passions we confronted in 2009-10, it was also a year featuring a highly constructive partnership with the campus administration, and I wish to acknowledge especially the cooperation of the Chancellor Birgeneau, EVCP Breslauer, VC Yeary, and AVC Gore. It was also a year of profound and exhausting work by committee chairs and members, and by Senate staff, led by Executive Director Andrea Green Rush. The campus is in their debt.
Respectfully submitted,
Christopher Kutz
Chair, 2009-2010
Berkeley Division of the Academic Senate
Divisional Council, Berkeley Division of the Academic Senate
Annual Report 2009-2010

List of Activities

The following summarizes Divisional Council and Division activities and actions for 2009-2010, including the date(s) upon which the item was acted on. Please refer to the Divisional Council approved minutes and communications for details.

Academic Programs

- Declined to endorse a proposal to establish M.A. and Ph.D. degrees in transnational gender and women’s studies. Authorized Chair Kutz to forward comments to the vice provost for academic affairs and faculty welfare. (10/5/09)
- Forwarded comments on the review of the Department of Comparative Literature to the vice provost for teaching, learning, academic planning and facilities. (10/12/09)
- Forwarded comments on the review of the Department of Rhetoric to the vice provost for teaching, learning, academic planning and facilities. (10/26/09)
- Forwarded comments on the Department of Anthropology to the vice provost for teaching, learning, academic planning and facilities. (11/9/09)
- Forwarded comments on the review of the Helen Wills Neuroscience Institute to the vice provost for teaching, learning, academic planning and facilities. (11/23/09)
- Forwarded comments on the review of the Department of Near Eastern Studies to the vice provost for teaching, learning, academic planning and facilities. (12/7/09)
- Forwarded comments on the review of the Department of Italian Studies to the vice provost for teaching, learning, academic planning and facilities. (12/8/09)
- Forwarded comments on the review of the Department of Ethnic Studies to the vice provost for teaching, learning, academic planning and facilities. (2/8/10)
- Endorsed and forwarded comments regarding a request to grant summer LL.M. degrees to the vice provost for academic affairs and faculty welfare. (2/8/10)
- Endorsed the proposed name change for the Department of Chemical Engineering. (2/8/10)
- Forwarded endorsement of a proposal to establish the Department of Film and Media to the vice provost for academic affairs and faculty welfare. (2/22/10)
- Forwarded comments on the proposed name change for the Asian American Studies B.A. to the vice provost for academic affairs and faculty welfare. (2/22/10)
- Forwarded comments on the review of the Department of Earth and Planetary Science to the vice provost for teaching, learning, academic planning and facilities. (2/22/10)
- Forwarded comments on the review of the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science to the vice provost for teaching, learning, academic planning and facilities. (2/22/10)
- Provisionally endorsed a proposal for a concurrent MPH/MJ degree program and forwarded comments to the vice provost for academic affairs and faculty welfare. (3/8/10, 5/17/10)
- Forwarded comments on the review of the Department of Architecture to the vice provost for teaching, learning, academic planning and facilities. (3/29/10)
- Approved the charge for a Senate working group on online graduate degree programs. (3/29/10)
- Endorsed the proposal and forwarded comments on the establishment of a Ph.D. in Film and Media to the vice provost for academic affairs and faculty welfare. (4/12/10)
- Approved the Committee on Courses of Instruction’s (COCI) draft endorsement of a pilot for online undergraduate courses. (4/12/10, 4/26/10)

Policies

- Forwarded DIVCO’s concerns to the EVCP about continuing critical academic oversight functions over teaching and learning. (8/31/09)
- Forwarded comments on the importance of shared governance to the vice chancellor for administration (with respect to budget and planning). (9/14/09)
- Endorsed the report of the Task Force on Undergraduate Enrollment with conditions, and forwarded a statement to the Undergraduate Admissions Coordination Board. (10/26/09)
• Endorsed and forwarded a revised charge for the Task Force on Undergraduate Enrollment to the executive vice chancellor and provost (EVCP). (11/9/09)
• Forwarded comments on best practices for managing impacted majors to the EVCP. (11/9/09)
• Approved and forwarded guidelines on the Reading, Recitation, and Review (RRR) period to the vice provost for teaching, learning, academic planning and facilities. (11/23/09)
• Forwarded comments on proposed Senior Management Group (SMG) policies to Academic Council. (12/7/09)
• Forwarded comments on the report of the Undergraduate Educational Effectiveness Task Force to Academic Council. (12/7/09)
• Forwarded comments on the report of the Special Committee on Online and Remote Instruction and Residency Report to the Academic Council. (12/7/09)
• Declined to endorse the model resolution on intercollegiate athletics for faculty senates, received from the Coalition on Intercollegiate Athletics (COIA). (3/8/10, 3/29/10, 4/12/10).
• Forwarded comments to Academic Council regarding the Final Report of the Joint Senate-Administrative Task Force on the *Compendium*, regarding universitywide review of academic programs, academic units and research units. (5/10/10)
• Forwarded comments to Academic Council regarding a proposal on the area ‘d’ laboratory science admissions requirement. (5/17/10)

**Informal Comment to the Systemwide Academic Senate**
• Forwarded informal comments to Academic Council on the review of potential revisions to UC policy related to the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA). (2/26/10)

**Legislation**

**Enacted Legislation**

All items placed on the Division meeting consent calendars were approved.
• Approved a proposed amendment to Berkeley Division Bylaw 100 (Degrees, Certificates, Honors) at the fall division meeting. (10/5/09)
• Approved proposed amendments to Berkeley Division Bylaw 4 (Divisional Council) at the spring Division meeting. (3/29/10)
• Approved proposed amendments to Berkeley Division Regulation 207 (Grade Appeals), at the spring Division meeting. (3/29/10)

**Faculty/University Welfare**
• Forwarded a DIVCO statement on the November 2009 solidarity strike to all Senate members. (11/9/09, 11/23/09)
• Approved and distributed a statement against violence to all Senate members. (12/14/09)
• Forwarded comments on the *UC Berkeley Strategic Plan for Equity, Inclusion, and Diversity*, to the vice chancellor for equity and inclusion. (2/8/10)
• Forwarded concerns about student conduct disciplinary procedures to the EVCP and campus counsel. (4/26/10)

**Informal Comment to the Administration**
• Approved and forwarded recommendations by the Committee on the Status of Women and Ethnic Minorities regarding the status of African-American students, to the vice chancellor for equity and inclusion. (5/17/10)

**Budget and Planning**
• Forwarded comments on the Operational Excellence (OE) process to Bain and Co., Inc. (10/26/09)
• Endorsed comments of the UC Committee on Planning and Budget (UCPB) regarding differential fees by major. Forwarded comments of CAPRA, CEP to Academic Council. (11/23/09)
• Forwarded recommendations on teaching and learning expenditures to the EVCP. (11/23/09)
• Forwarded recommendations on student fee increases to the EVCP. (11/23/09)
• Approved the charge for a task force on intercollegiate athletics (IA) to advise the administration on IA financial planning and oversight. (12/7/09)
• Forwarded comments on the final report of a joint Senate-administrative task force on the University’s systemwide Education Abroad Program (UCEAP) to Academic Council. (12/7/09)
• Forwarded a statement on the OE update to Vice Chancellor Frank Yeary. (3/8/10)
• Forwarded comments on the UCPB position paper on differential fees and non-resident tuition to Academic Council. (4/12/10)
• Forwarded comments on the policy governing the registration fee to Academic Council. (4/26/10)
• Forwarded DIVCO’s concerns to the vice provost for equity and inclusion regarding the erosion of teaching and learning resources on campus. (4/26/10)
• Forwarded comments on recommendations of the UC Commission on the Future working groups to Academic Council. (5/10/10, 5/17/10)
• Forwarded comments on building faculty-alumni relations to the UC Berkeley Foundation. (5/17/10)
• Endorsed and forwarded the budget recommendations of the Committee on Academic Planning & Resource Allocation (CAPRA) to the EVCP. (5/17/10)

Awards and Honors
• Approved the recipient of the Clark Kerr Award. (8/31/09)
• Forwarded DIVCO’s comments on the merger of the Distinguished Teaching Award Ceremony to the vice provost for teaching, learning, academic planning, and facilities. (2/8/10)
• Approved four recipients of the Distinguished Teaching Award. (3/29/10)
• Approved two Faculty Research Lecturers. (3/29/10)
• Approved two recipients for the 2010 Berkeley Faculty Service Award. (5/10/10)
• Approved the recipient of the Leon Henkin Citation for Distinguished Service. (5/17/10)

Senate Management
• Approved conflict of interest guidelines for DIVCO. (8/31/09)
• Conducted a Senate leadership orientation. (9/3/09)
• Approved organizational changes to Senate committees: AmCult, CMR, COMP, CAPRA, CEP, COCI, IE, OMB, UEXT, and UER. (9/14/09, 11/9/09)
• Confirmed appointments to standing committees of the Academic Senate. (10/5/09, 10/12/09, 10/26/09, 11/9/09, 11/23/09, 12/14/09, 1/25/10, 2/8/10, 2/22/10, 3/8/10, 3/29/10, 4/12/10, 4/26/10, 5/10/10, 5/17/10)
• Approved DIVCO’s priorities for 2009/10. (10/12/10)
• Held two Division meetings. (11/5/09, 4/22/10)
• Approved and forwarded DIVCO’s 2008-2009 annual report to the systemwide Academic Senate. (12/7/09)
• Approved the formation of a task force on international education. (2/8/10)
• Conducted an electronic election for the division. (4/1/10)
• Conducted an electronic ballot on a resolution presented at the spring Division meeting. (5/21/10)

Senate Events
• Held a faculty town hall meeting on the budget. (9/2/09)
• Sponsored three Conversations with the Faculty events, including one in May 2010 with a UC Regent. (10/20/09, 3/2/10, 5/4/10)
• Held a town hall meeting on UC Berkeley finances. (2/2/10)
• Held a faculty forum on the recommendations of the UC Commission on the Future working groups. (3/29/10)
• Held a faculty forum on post-employment benefits. (4/29/10)
• Held an end of year reception for Divisional Council and Senate leadership. (5/12/10)

Cosponsored Events
• Cosponsored the New Faculty Orientation with the Office of the Vice Provost for Academic Affairs and Faculty Welfare. (8/21/09)
• Held a Conversations with the Faculty event cosponsored with SAVE (Save the University). (10/20/09)