



UNIVERSITY  
OF  
CALIFORNIA

---

Steven W. Cheung  
Chair, Assembly of the  
Academic Senate  
Faculty Representative,  
UC Board of Regents

Academic Senate

Office of the President  
1111 Franklin Street  
Oakland, CA 94607

[senate.universityofcalifornia.edu](https://senate.universityofcalifornia.edu)

---

CAMPUSES

Berkeley  
Davis  
Irvine  
UCLA  
Merced  
Riverside  
San Diego  
San Francisco  
Santa Barbara  
Santa Cruz

MEDICAL CENTERS

Davis  
Irvine  
UCLA  
San Diego  
San Francisco

NATIONAL LABORATORIES

Lawrence Berkeley  
Lawrence Livermore  
Los Alamos

April 28, 2025

Michael V. Drake, M.D.  
President, University of California

Re: Berkeley Senate Division's Resolution to Protect Academic & Political Freedom

Dear President Drake,

At the request of Chair Amani Nuru-Jeter of the UC Berkeley Division of the Academic Senate, I am transmitting the Berkeley Division's *Resolution to Protect Academic & Political Freedom*.

The resolution was adopted at a Special Meeting of the Berkeley Division on April 22, 2025.

The statement is attached and also available on the Berkeley Academic Senate website [here](#).

Sincerely,

Steven W. Cheung  
Chair, Academic Council

Encl.

cc: Provost and Executive Vice President Newman  
Berkeley Senate Division Chair Nuru-Jeter  
Berkeley Senate Division Executive Director Banaria  
Senate Executive Director Lin



320 STEPHENS HALL

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

April 22, 2025

STEVEN CHEUNG  
Chair, Academic Senate

*Subject: Request to transmit Berkeley's Resolution to Protect Academic & Political Freedom*

Dear Chair Cheung,

On April 22, 2025, the Berkeley Division of the University of California (UC) Academic Senate held a Special Division Meeting and approved the enclosed resolution, *A Berkeley Resolution to Protect Academic and Political Freedom*. We request that the approved resolution be transmitted to UC President Drake and the Board of the Regents of the University of California.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Amani Nuru-Jeter'.

Amani Nuru-Jeter  
Chair, Berkeley Division of the Academic Senate

Enclosure

cc: Mark Stacey, Vice Chair, Berkeley Division of the Academic Senate  
Monica Lin, Executive Director, UC Systemwide Academic Senate  
Jocelyn Surla Banaria, Executive Director, Berkeley Division of the Academic Senate  
Carla Hesse, Secretary, Berkeley Division of the Academic Senate and Chair, Committee on  
Rules and Elections  
Andrew Garrett, Parliamentarian, Berkeley Division of the Academic Senate  
Sumei Quiggle, Associate Director, Berkeley Division of the Academic Senate



320 STEPHENS HALL  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

## **A Berkeley Resolution to Protect Academic and Political Freedom**

Whereas the Federal Government is engaged in a series of unprecedented attacks on higher education in the United States, and has indicated that the University of California is one of its primary targets;

Whereas these attacks at other institutions have, often directly contrary to federal and constitutional law, included the termination of research grants and contracts without notice or process, demands for changes to academic leadership of research centers, demands for policies restricting the rights of assembly and political speech, and demands for changes to admissions and employment policies;

Whereas the Federal Government has, through its policy of abrupt visa terminations, deportations, and border harassment, deprived foreign and undocumented students and scholars on our own campus of their freedom to speak, teach, research, and learn, and put them in grave and crippling fear;

Whereas the University of California has itself welcomed these students and scholars, along with their talents, energies, and resources, and thereby has incurred a moral obligation to protect them from becoming collateral casualties in these attacks on us as an institution;

Whereas federal demands for information about the activities, identities, speech and status of our faculty and staff may violate our privacy rights under state, federal, and constitutional law;

And whereas recent events at other institutions have shown that attempts to appease or negotiate away universities' and scholars' academic and political freedom lead only to more severe unconstitutional demands and extortion;

- 1) *The University and the several campuses must be prepared to challenge in court all illegal demands presented by the Federal Government, including termination of research grants not in accord with contractual terms and required administrative processes, in consultation with the affected researchers.*
- 2) *The University must fund legal aid for students and scholars subjected to arbitrary visa revocation and/or SEVIS termination. The University must ensure that on each of its campuses affected students and scholars have swift access to effective legal counsel.*
- 3) *The University must affirmatively defend free expression for the entire university community, including by:*

- *Protecting the right to learn by devoting resources when necessary to prevent classroom and event disruptions*
  - *Protecting students and faculty from retaliatory claims of harassment based on discussion of controversial topics*
- 4) *The University must recommit to its Statement of Privacy Values, which recognizes that academic freedom and autonomy require freedom from surveillance and interference. The University should commit to minimum-necessary approaches in policies that affect personal information and that could reveal academic and expressive activities.*
  - 5) *The University must commit to not sharing the personal data of its students, staff and faculty on a voluntary basis, and must challenge in court any demands not supported by incontrovertible legal authority, given the risk that such demands abridge the legal rights of the concerned individuals. Should a court order it to comply with such demands, the University should pursue all available legal mechanisms for appeal of this order. As soon as possible, the University should also notify all individuals whose data are sought by the government.*
  - 6) *The University must reject demands by the federal government, of the type present in the demands made to Columbia and Harvard Universities, that require an abandonment of its academic freedom and violation of its members' legal rights. In particular, it must refuse such demands as:*
    - *A prohibition on wearing masks intended to conceal identity at rallies. Such a prohibition, without requiring a further intent to commit illegal acts, exceeds current UC policy and chills legitimate protest.*
    - *The "shuttering" of programs aimed at enhancing diversity and access to our institution.*
    - *Adopting the IHRA definition of antisemitism, which can be used in ways inconsistent with both academic freedom and freedom of speech and assembly.*
  - 7) *Finally, the University should collaborate as broadly as possible with other institutions and organizations involved in higher education to counter these threats to democracy and science, including through legal action.*